the USBE method by Brendan Gregg

The hardest part of a performance investigation can be knowing where to start: which analysis tools to run first, which metrics to read, and how to interpret the output. The choices on FreeBSD are numerous, with standard tools including top(1), vmstat(8), iostat(8), netstat(1), and more advanced options such as pmcstat(8) and DTrace. These tools collectively provide hundreds of metrics, and can be customized to provide thousands more. However, most of us aren't full-time performance engineers and may only have the time to check familiar tools and metrics,

overlooking many possible areas of trouble.

The Utilization, Saturation, and Errors (USE) method addresses this problem, and is intended for those—especially systems administrators—who perform occasional performance analysis. It is a process for quickly checking system performance early in an investigation, identifying common bottlenecks and errors. Instead of beginning with the tools and their statistics, the USE method begins with the questions we'd like answered. That way, we ensure that we don't overlook things due to a lack of familiarity with tools or a lack of the tools themselves.

WHAT IS IT?

The USE Method can be summarized in a single sentence: For every resource, check Utilization, Saturation, and Errors. Resources are any hardware in the datapath, including CPUs, memory, storage devices, network interfaces, controllers, and busses. Software resources, such as mutex locks, thread pools, and resource control limits, can also be studied. Utilization is usually the portion

of time a resource was busy servicing work, with the exception of storage devices where utilization can also mean used capacity. Saturation is the degree to which a resource is overloaded with work, such as the length of a backlog queue.

Usually individual resource statistics also need to be investigated. Averaging CPU utilization across



32 CPUs can hide individual CPUs that are running at 100%, and the same can also be true for disk utilization. Identifying these is the target of the USE method, as they can

become systemic bottlenecks.

I employed the USE method recently during a performance evaluation, where our system was benchmarked and compared to a similar one running Linux. The potential customer was dissatisfied with how ZFS performed in comparison, despite it being backed by SSDs in our system. Having worked both ZFS and SSD pathologies before, I knew that this could take days to unravel, and would involve studying complex behaviors of ZFS internals and SSD firmware. Instead of heading in those directions, I began by performing a quick check of system health using the USE method, while the prospect's benchmark was running. This immediately identified that the CPUs were at 100% utilization, while the disks (and ZFS) were completely idle. This helped reset the evaluation and shifted blame to where it was due (not ZFS), saving both my time and theirs.

Employing the USE method involves developing



a checklist of combinations of resource and USE statistics, along with the tools in your current environment for observing them. I've included examples here, developed on FreeBSD 10 alpha 2, for both hardware and some software resources. This includes some new DTrace one-liners for exposing various metrics. You may

copy these to your own company documentation (wiki), and enhance them with any additional tools you use in your environment.

These checklists include a terse summary of the targets, tools, and metrics to study. In some cases, the metric is straightforward reading from command line tools. Many others require some math, inference, or quite a bit of digging. This will hope-fully get easier in the future, as tools are developed to provide USE method metrics more easily.

[1] e.g., using per-CPU run queue length as the



ZU14 EUROBSD Conference in Sofia, Bulgaria!

InterExpo Congress Center, 147, Tsarigradsko shose blvd, Sofia, Bulgaria.

September 27-28th

September 25-26th FOR TUTORIALS

Call for Papers Send Your Proposal to: submission@eurobsdcon.org

Become a Sponsor Contact Us Via: oc-2014@eurobsdcon.org

EuroBSDcon is the premier European conference on the Open Source BSD operating systems attracting highly skilled engineering professionals, software developers, computer science students, professors, and users from all over the world. The goal of EuroBSDcon is to exchange knowledge about the BSD operating systems, facilitate coordination and cooperation among users and developers.

http://eurobsdcon.org

• Talks & Schedule More Information on the Conference Planning

• Travel & Stay Upcoming Details on Transportation and Hotels

Venue & Location

Information and Directions to the Conference Location

Spouses Track and Trip
Visit the Historic City of Plovdiv, and Tour Rila Monastery

PHYSICAL RESOURCES

	VDC	
COMPONENT T	YPE	METRIC
CPU sat	tilization aturation rrors	system-wide: vmstat 1, "us" + "sy"; per-cpu: vmstat -P; per-process: top, "WCPU" system-wide: uptime, "load averages" > CPU count; vmstat 1, "procs:r" > CPU cou dmesg; /var/log/messages; pmcstat for PMC and whatever error counters are suppo
Memory capacity uti	tilization	system-wide: vmstat 1, "fre" is main memory free; top, "Mem:"; per-process: top - "RSS" is resident set size (Kbytes), "VSZ" is virtual memory size (Kbytes)
	aturation rors	system-wide: vmstat 1, "sr" for scan rate, "w" for swapped threads (was saturated physical: dmesg?; /var/log/messages?; virtual: DTrace failed malloc()s
Network Interfaces sat	tilization aturation rrors	system-wide: netstat -i 1, assume one very busy interface and use input/output "by system-wide: netstat -s, for saturation related metrics, eg netstat -s l egrep 'retransle system-wide: netstat -s l egrep 'badlchecksum', for various metrics; per-interface: ne
Storage device I/OsatStorage device I/OerrStorage capacityutiStorage capacitysatStorage capacityerrStorage capacityerrStorage capacityutiStorage controllerutiStorage controllersat	tilization sturation rors tilization sturation rors tilization sturation rors	system-wide: iostat -xz 1, "%b"; per-process: DTrace io provider, eg, iosnoop or ioto system-wide: iostat -xz 1, "qlen"; DTrace for queue duration or length [4] DTrace io:::done probe when /args[0]->b_error != 0/ file systems: df -h, "Capacity"; swap: swapinfo, "Capacity"; pstat -T, also shows sw not sure this one makes sense - once its full, ENOSPC DTrace; /var/log/messages file system full messages iostat -xz 1, sum IOPS & tput metrics for devices on the same controller, and compa check utilization and DTrace and look for kernel queueing DTrace the driver
Network controller sat	tilization aturation rrors	system-wide: netstat -i 1, assume one busy controller and examine input/output "by see network interface saturation see network interface errors
CPU interconnect sat	tilization aturation rrors	pmcstat (PMC) for CPU interconnect ports, tput / max pmcstat and relevant PMCs for CPU interconnect stall cycles pmcstat and relevant PMCs for whatever is available
Memory interconnect sat	tilization aturation rrors	pmcstat and relevant PMCs for memory bus throughput / max, or, measure CPI and pmcstat and relevant PMCs for memory stall cycles pmcstat and relevant PMCs for whatever is available
I/O interconnect sat	tilization aturation rrors	pmcstat and relevant PMCs for tput / max if available; inference via known tput fror pmcstat and relevant PMCs for I/O bus stall cycles pmcstat and relevant PMCs for whatever is available

saturation metric: dtrace -n 'profile-99 { @[cpu] = lquantize(`tdq_cpu[cpu].tdq_load, 0, 128, 1); } tick-1s { printa(@); trunc(@); }' where > 1 is saturation. If you're using the older BSD scheduler, profile runq_length[]. There are also the sched:::load-change and other sched probes.

[2] For this metric, we can use time spent in TDS_RUNQ as a per-thread saturation (latency) metric. Here is an (unstable) fbt-based oneliner: dtrace -n 'fbt::tdq_runq_add:entry { ts[arg1] = timestamp; } fbt::choosethread:return /ts[arg1]/ { @[stringof(args[1]->td_name), "runq (ns)"] = quantize(timestamp - ts[arg1]); ts[arg1] = 0; }'. This would be better (stability) if it can be rewritten to use the DTrace sched probes. It would also be great if there were simply high resolution thread state times in struct rusage or rusage_ext, eg, cumulative times for each state in td_state and more, which would make reading this metric easier and have lower overhead.

[3] e.g., for swapping: dtrace -n

'fbt::cpu_thread_swapin:entry, fbt::cpu_thread_swapout:entry { @[probefunc, stringof(args[0]->td_name)] = count(); }' (NOTE, I would trace vm_thread_swapin() and vm_thread_swapout(), but their probes don't exist). Tracing paging is tricker until the vminfo provider is added; you could try tracing from swap_pager_putpages() and swap_pager_getpages(), but I didn't see an easy way to walk back to a thread struct; another approach may be via vm_fault_hold(). Good luck. See thread states [2], which could make this much easier.

[4] e.g., sampling GEOM queue length at 99 Hertz: dtrace -n 'profile-99 { @["geom qlen"] = lquantize(`g_bio_run_down.bio_queue_length, 0, 256, 1); }'

[5] This approach is different from storage

for weighted and recent usage; per-kernel-process: top -S, "WCPU" nt; per-cpu: DTrace to profile CPU run queue lengths [1]; per-process: DTrace of scheduler events [2] rted (eg, thermal throttling)

o res, "RES" is resident main memory size, "SIZE" is virtual memory size; ps -auxw,

, may not be now); swapinfo, "Capacity" also for evidence of swapping/paging; per-process: DTrace [3]

tes" / known max (note: includes localhost traffic); per-interface: netstat -l interface 1, input/output "bytes" / known max droplout-of-orderlmemory problemsloverflow'; per-interface: DTrace etstat -i, "lerrs", "Oerrs" (eg, late collisions), "Colls" [5]

op (DTT, needs porting)

ap space;

re to known limits [5]

tes" / known max (note: includes localhost traffic)

treat, say, 5+ as high utilization

m iostat/netstat/...

device (disk) utilization. For controllers, percent busy has much less meaning, so we're calculating utilization based on throughput (bytes/sec) and IOPS instead. Controllers typically have limits for these based on their busses and processing capacity. If you don't know them, you can determine them experimentally.

PMC == Performance Monitoring Counters, aka CPU Performance Counters (CPC), Performance Instrumentation Counters (PICs), and more. These are processor hardware counters that are read via programmable registers on each CPU. The availability of these counters is dependent on the processor type. See pmc(3) and pmcstat(8).

pmcstat(8): the FreeBSD tool for instrumenting PMCs. You might need to run a kldload hwpmc first before use. To figure out which PMCs you need to use and how, it usually takes some serious time (days) with the processor vendor manuals; eg, the Intel 64 and IA-32 Architectures Software Developer's Manual, Volume 3B, Appendix A-E: Performance-Monitoring Events.

DTT == DTraceToolkit (http://www.brendangregg.com/dtrace.html#DTraceToolkit) scripts. These are in the FreeBSD source (http://svnweb.freebsd.org/base/head/cddl/contrib/dtracetoolkit/) under cddl/contrib/dtracetoolkit, and dtruss is under /usr/sbin. As features are added to DTrace see the freebsd-dtrace mailing list (https://lists.freebsd.org/mailman/listinfo/ freebsd-dtrace), more scripts can be ported.

CPI == Cycles Per Instruction (others use IPC == Instructions Per Cycle).

I/O interconnect: this includes the CPU to I/O controller busses, the I/O controller(s), and device busses (eg, PCIe).

SOFTWARE RESOURCES

COMPONENT	ТҮРЕ	METRIC
Kernel mutex	utilization	lockstat -H (held time); DTrace lockstat provider
Kernel mutex	saturation	lockstat -C (contention); DTrace lockstat provider [6]; spinning shows u
Kernel mutex	errors	lockstat -E (errors); DTrace and fbt provider for return probes and erro
User mutex User mutex User mutex	utilization saturation errors	DTrace pid provider for hold times; eg, pthread_mutex_*lock() return to DTrace pid provider for contention; eg, pthread_mutex_*lock() entry to DTrace pid provider for EINVAL, EDEADLK, see pthread_mutex_lock
Process capacity	utilization	current/max using: ps -a l wc -l / sysctl kern.maxproc; top, "Processes:
Process capacity	saturation	not sure this makes sense
Process capacity	errors	"can't fork()" messages
File descriptors	utilization	system-wide: pstat -T, "files"; sysctl kern.openfiles / sysctl kern.maxfile
File descriptors	saturation	I don't think this one makes sense, as if it can't allocate or expand the
File descriptors	errors	truss, dtruss, or custom DTrace to look for errno == EMFILE on syscalls

lockstat: you may need to run kldload ksyms before lockstat will work (otherwise: "lockstat: can't load kernel symbols: No such file or directory").

[6] e.g., showing adaptive lock block time totals
(in nanoseconds) by calling function name: dtrace
-n 'lockstat:::adaptive-block { @[caller] =
sum(arg1); } END { printa("%40a%@16d ns\n",
@); }'

IN PRACTICE

You may notice that many metrics are difficult to observe, especially those involving pmcstat(8), which could take days to figure out. In practice, you may only have time to perform a subset of the USE method, studying those metrics that can be determined quickly, and acknowledging that some remain unknown due to pressures of time. Knowing what you don't know can still be enormously valuable: there may come a time when a performance issue is of vital importance to your company to resolve, and you are already armed with a to-do list of extra work than can be performed to more thoroughly check resource performance.

OTHER TOOLS

I didn't include procstat, sockstat, gstat or others, as the USE method is designed to begin with questions, and only uses the tools that answer them. This is very different from making a list of all the tools available and then trying to find a way to use them all. Those other tools are useful for other methodologies, which can be used after this one.

It's hoped that more tools are developed to make the USE method easier, expanding the subset of metrics that you have time to regularly check. If you are a FreeBSD developer, then you may be the first to develop a bustop, for example, which could be a PMC-based tool for showing busses and interconnects, and their utilization, saturation, and errors.

CONTINUED ANALYSIS

The USE method is intended as an early methodology to identify common bottlenecks and errors. These may then be studied using other methodologies, such as drill-down analysis and latency analysis. There are also performance issues which the USE method will miss entirely, and it should be treated as only one methodology in your toolbox.

REFERENCES

Thinking Methodically about Performance, Brendan Gregg, ACM Queue, vol. 10, no. 12, 2012

- The USE Method: FreeBSD Performance Checklist summary on my blog
- FreeBSD source code and man pages
- FreeBSD Wiki PmcTools
- FreeBSD Handbook



Brendan Gregg is a senior

performance architect at Netflix. He is the author of the book *Systems Performance* (Prentice Hall, 2014), primary author of *DTrace: Dynamic Tracing in Oracle Solaris, Mac OS X,* and *FreeBSD* (Prentice Hall, 2011), and recipient of the USENIX 2013 LISA Award for Outstanding Achievement in System



Administration. He was previously a performance lead and kernel engineer at Sun Microsystems where he developed the ZFS L2ARC and various DTrace providers.



up with dtrace -n 'profile-997 { @[stack()] = count(); }' r status

to pthread_mutex_unlock() entry to return times (3C) etc.

" also shows current

s; per-process: can figure out using fstat -p PID and ulimit -n e array, it errors; see fdalloc()

s returning fds (eg, open(), accept(), ...)





The Dynamic Edition format offers subscribers the same features as the App, but permits viewing the Journal through your favorite browser.





The DE, like the App, is an individual product. You will get an email notification each time an issue is released. A one-year subscription is \$19.99, and a single copy is \$6.99—the same pricing as the App version.

www. freebsdfoundation.com